

Message

From: Sivak, Michael [Sivak.Michael@epa.gov]
Sent: 7/19/2020 1:45:46 AM
To: Smeraldi, Josh [Smeraldi.Josh@epa.gov]
CC: AccardiDey, AmyMarie [amymarie.accardi-dey@wsp.com]; Reilly, WilliamJ [Reilly.WilliamJ@epa.gov]; Warner, Leonard [len.warner@wsp.com]; Franklin, Elizabeth A CIV USARMY CENWK (USA) [Elizabeth.A.Franklin@usace.army.mil]
Subject: Re: Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site- Final Feasibility Study Report- July 17, 2020

Josh: not sure if I can make 10:30. Please text me the call in info.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 18, 2020, at 9:00 PM, Smeraldi, Josh <Smeraldi.Josh@epa.gov> wrote:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: AccardiDey, AmyMarie <AmyMarie.Accardi-Dey@wsp.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 18, 2020 8:23 PM
To: Sivak, Michael <Sivak.Michael@epa.gov>
Cc: Smeraldi, Josh <Smeraldi.Josh@epa.gov>; Reilly, WilliamJ <Reilly.WilliamJ@epa.gov>; Warner, Leonard <len.warner@wsp.com>; Franklin, Elizabeth A CIV USARMY CENWK (USA) <Elizabeth.A.Franklin@usace.army.mil>
Subject: Re: Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site- Final Feasibility Study Report- July 17, 2020

Hi Michael and Josh - can we talk on Sunday morning? I want to be clear on my path forward so that I can fix FS on Sunday.

How about 10:30am?

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 18, 2020, at 5:49 PM, Sivak, Michael <Sivak.Michael@epa.gov> wrote:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 18, 2020, at 3:16 PM, AccardiDey, AmyMarie <AmyMarie.Accardi-Dey@wsp.com> wrote:

Josh – The same technology (which is in-situ remediation) is being applied to GW Alternative 3, 4, and 5. Here are the differences:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Please let me know how you would like to proceed.

AM

PS: Mark is going to take the kids to grandma's house tomorrow (Sunday), so I am available to work on the FS text. We will need to wait till Monday for Jeff to review my edits to the GW section and for Thalia/Sharon to fix the costs.

AmyMarie Accardi-Dey, PhD, PG, CPC
Phone: 1-914-620-5110

From: Smeraldi, Josh <Smeraldi.Josh@epa.gov>
Sent: Saturday, July 18, 2020 1:32 PM
To: Sivak, Michael <Sivak.Michael@epa.gov>; AccardiDey, AmyMarie <AmyMarie.Accardi-Dey@wsp.com>
Cc: Reilly, WilliamJ <Reilly.WilliamJ@epa.gov>; Warner, Leonard <len.warner@wsp.com>; Franklin, Elizabeth A CIV USARMY CENWK (USA) <Elizabeth.A.Franklin@usace.army.mil>
Subject: RE: Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site- Final Feasibility Study Report- July 17, 2020

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Thanks,

Josh

From: Sivak, Michael <Sivak.Michael@epa.gov>
Sent: Saturday, July 18, 2020 10:28 AM
To: AccardiDey, AmyMarie <amymarie.accardi-dey@wsp.com>
Cc: Smeraldi, Josh <Smeraldi.Josh@epa.gov>; Reilly, WilliamJ <Reilly.WilliamJ@epa.gov>; Warner, Leonard <len.warner@wsp.com>; Franklin, Elizabeth A CIV USARMY CENWK (USA) <Elizabeth.A.Franklin@usace.army.mil>
Subject: Re: Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site- Final Feasibility Study Report- July 17, 2020

See my comments below.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 18, 2020, at 6:29 AM, AccardiDey, AmyMarie <AmyMarie.Accardi-Dey@wsp.com> wrote:

Good morning Josh – I looked at the edits made by PPG. I feel that most of the edits are not technically wrong per se, but more politically crafted to position PPG better. I would have to review it with Will on what is acceptable.

The four technical edits that I would challenge are all on groundwater:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

AmyMarie Accardi-Dey, PhD, PG, CPC
Phone: 1-914-620-5110

From: Smeraldi, Josh <Smeraldi.Josh@epa.gov>

Sent: Friday, July 17, 2020 7:44 PM

To: Sivak, Michael <Sivak.Michael@epa.gov>;

AccardiDey, AmyMarie <[AmyMarie.Accardi-](mailto:AmyMarie.Accardi-Dey@wsp.com)

Dey@wsp.com>; Reilly, WilliamJ

<Reilly.WilliamJ@epa.gov>

Cc: Warner, Leonard <len.warner@wsp.com>; Franklin,

Elizabeth A CIV USARMY CENWK (USA)

<Elizabeth.A.Franklin@usace.army.mil>

Subject: FW: Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site-
Final Feasibility Study Report- July 17, 2020

See below for link to FS. They clearly did not follow our instructions and continue to add groundwater alternative 5 among other issues. I can review sometime this weekend. I am not sure what to do about the tables and figures.

Josh

From: Ken Bird <kbird@woodardcurran.com>

Sent: Friday, July 17, 2020 5:58 PM

To: Smeraldi, Josh <Smeraldi.Josh@epa.gov>

Cc: Krall, Scott M <skrall@ppg.com>; Christin Dowling

<cdowling@woodardcurran.com>

Subject: Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site- Final
Feasibility Study Report- July 17, 2020

Good afternoon Josh,
Below is a download link for the Riverside Industrial Park
Superfund Site Final FS Report.

https://woodardcurran-my.sharepoint.com/:f/p/cdowling/ErmA6iVQwUdNnDcU4NdGzEYBR2t-7qdr5in_Wq1jkoE9Xg?e=f98kEK

Let me or Christin Dowling know if you cannot access the
document.

Ken

Ken Bird, CIH, LSRP
Woodard & Curran
300 Penn Center Blvd, Suite 800
Pittsburgh, PA 15235

Main – 412-241-4500
Office- 412-535-0835

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain information which is privileged, confidential, proprietary or otherwise subject to restricted disclosure under applicable law. This message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on, this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized or intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.